## Agenda

## Rio Linda / Elverta Community Water District Planning Committee

Sacramento Metro Fire Dept. 6609 Rio Linda Blvd. Rio Linda, CA 95673 Friday, December 7, 2018 2:00 pm

Public documents relating to any open session items listed on this agenda that are distributed to the Committee members less than 72 hours before the meeting are available for public inspection on the counter of the District Office.

The public may address the Committee concerning any item of interest. Persons who wish to comment on either agenda or non-agenda items should fill out the Comment Card and give it to the General Manager. The Committee Chair will call for comments at the appropriate time. Comments will be subject to reasonable time limits (3 minutes).

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you have a disability, and you need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the District office at (916) 991-1000. Requests must be made as early as possible and at least one full business day before the start of the meeting.

#### Call to Order

#### **Public Comment**

This is an opportunity for the public to comment on non-agenda items with the subject matter jurisdiction of the Committee. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

### Items for Discussion and Action

- 1. Regional Reliability Plan Phase 2 Draft Fee Proposal.
- 2. Annual adjustment to RLECWD capacity fees.
- 3. District Engineer projects status report.

## Items Requested for Next Month's Committee Agenda Adjournment

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Next Scheduled Planning Committee Meeting: Friday, January 4, 2019



# Planning Committee Agenda Item: 1

**Date:** December 7, 2018

**Subject:** Regional Reliability Plan Phase 2 Draft Fee Proposal

**Staff Contact:** Timothy R. Shaw, General Manager

## **Recommended Committee Action:**

Committee action is not yet required for this item. Funding for the RWA Regional Reliability Plan is intended for discussion only.

## **Current Background and Justification:**

The impetus for estimating costs ahead for regional reliability stemmed from the most recent meeting. GMs and other executive representatives pushed for long-range forecast because the budgeting/funding process can and does have long lead times at many member agencies.

In response, the RWA staff prepared the draft estimate of agencies' shares of cost included with your Committee packets.

### Staff recommendation:

I recommend the Planning Committee review the documents. Then provide feedback and/or request clarifications as appropriate.

Hello all,

At our Regional Water Reliability Plan (RWRP) meeting on November 14th, a few of you emphasized the need to give you all an idea of the potential fees around moving into Phase 2 in 2019. Recall that we have estimated about \$2 million in remaining planning costs over the next three years to arrive at an operational Regional Groundwater Bank. The entirety of the work would include some off-ramps if issues arise to make the bank infeasible. Our emphasis for 2019 is on what I consider some no-regrets activities. These are generally:

- 1) Proceed with model development for the South American Subbasin to assess the benefits and impacts of future banking operations. This model would also be beneficial for the South American Subbasin as a tool for Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) work going forward.
- 2) Engagement with State and Federal agencies. Operations of a future bank will require use of various state and federal facilities, so early engagement with these agencies is important. We learned a lot from this year's regional groundwater substitution transfer, and we believe we can improve upon these activities in the future if we work with the agencies earlier.
- 3) Stakeholder and Market engagement. Gaining stakeholder support and working early with the potential market (partners) will be important to the success of a bank. The market can be a potential source of funding for our remaining planning needs, so earlier engagement is better.

The attached draft funding table shows a breakdown of how we could distribute \$500K in fees for the activities described above. We realize that we still need to complete a scope of work, budget, and project agreement for this Phase 2, but I wanted to get your reaction to the proposed fees relative to your agency. In putting together these proposed fees, I considered agency size and the likelihood of participating in a future bank. Perhaps the most significant consideration was whether the agency is part of both the RWRP and the Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority (SCGA). This is because roughly \$300K of the 2019 forecasted budget is for the model update, which corresponds to the SCGA area. I believe these agencies would benefit from the model for SGMA purposes, even if we were not to proceed with the bank.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions or comments on this. I understand that we have agencies on a variety of fiscal year start dates, so we can work with you all to find the appropriate timing for collecting funds. We can discuss this further at our next RWRP committee meeting on <a href="December 12th">December 12th</a>.

I hope you all have a great holiday.

Rob

Robert J. Swartz, PG, CHG Regional Water Authority Sacramento Groundwater Authority 5620 Birdcage Street, Suite 180 Citrus Heights, CA 95610 (916) 967-7692 (916) 967-7322 Fax

## **DRAFT - For discussion**

## Proposed Fees to Fund Phase 2 of the Regional Water Reliability Plan

Phase	2
-------	---

California American Water	\$ 50,000
Carmichael Water District	\$ 10,000
Citrus Heights Water District	\$ 20,000
City of Folsom	\$ 30,000
City of Lincoln	\$ 15,000
City of Roseville	\$ 30,000
City of Sacramento	\$ 60,000
Del Paso Manor Water District	\$ 3,000
El Dorado County Water Agency	\$ 10,000
El Dorado Irrigation District	\$ 10,000
Elk Grove Water District	\$ 15,000
Fair Oaks Water District	\$ 20,000
Golden State Water Company	\$ 20,000
Orange Vale Water Company	\$ 3,000
Placer County Water Agency	\$ 30,000
Rio Linda/Elverta Community Water District	\$ 4,000
Sacramento County Water Agency	\$ 60,000
Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District	\$ 50,000
Sacramento Suburban Water District	\$ 35,000
San Juan Water District	\$ 25,000
	\$ 500,000

Highlighted agencies part of Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority



## Planning Committee Agenda Item: 2

**Date:** December 7, 2018

**Subject:** Annual Capacity Fee Adjustments

**Staff Contact:** Timothy R. Shaw, General Manager

### **Recommended Committee Action:**

Review the supporting documentation and recommend authorizing the annual adjustment to the RLECWD capacity fees at the December 17<sup>th</sup> Board meeting pursuant to Ordinance 2016-01.

## **Current Background and Justification:**

Ordinance 2016-01 stipulate an annual adjustment for the RLECWD capacity fees be implemented on January 1<sup>st</sup> each year. In order to adjust the capacity fees, as delineated in the Ordinance, the Board needs to review the construction cost index report provided by the District Engineer at the December Board meeting.

The new District Engineer, Mike Vasquez will update the Committee on the progress made as of the date of this Planning Committee meeting.

### Staff recommendation:

I recommend the Planning Committee review the documents and recommend approval of the annual capacity fee adjustment at the December 17<sup>th</sup> Board meeting.



# Planning Committee Agenda Item: 3

**Date:** December 7, 2018

Subject: District Engineer Status Report
Staff Contact: Mike Vasquez, District Engineer

## **Recommended Committee Action:**

Receive a status report on specific focus items currently being addressed by the District Engineer.

## **Current Background and Justification:**

Subjects anticipated for discussion include:

- Well #16 Groundwater Pumping Station design RFQ.
- Quarterly progress reports to RWA/SGA for the Well #10 grant.
- Sacramento County Planning Office requests for RLECWD conditions of approval for Elverta Specific Plan projects.
- Status of Elverta Specific Plan Owners development cost funding agreement execution.

### Staff recommendation:

I recommend the Planning Committee receive the status report from the District Engineer. Then, if necessary and appropriate, forward an item(s) onto the December 17<sup>th</sup> Board agenda with recommendations as necessary.